Normal Semigroups of Endomorphisms of Proper Independence Algebras are Idempotent Generated

João Araújo *†

June 26, 2000

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a proper independence algebra of finite rank, let G be the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, let a be a singular endomorphism and let a^G be the semigroup generated by all the elements $g^{-1}ag$, where $g \in G$. The aim of this paper is to prove that a^G is a semigroup generated by its own idempotents.

Mathematics subject classification: 20M20, 20M10, 08A35.

1 Introduction

Let X be a finite set. We denote by $T(X)$ the monoid of all (total) transformations on X and by $Sym(X)$ the symmetric group on X. An element $a \in T(X) \setminus Sym(X)$ is said to be singular.

In 1966 Howie [4] proved that every singular transformation $a \in T(X)$ can be expressed as a product of idempotents of $T(X)$.

This result was generalized by Fountain and Lewin [2] for the case of independence algebras as follows. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an independence algebra of finite

*Universidade Aberta, R. da Escola Politécnica, 147, 1269-001 Lisboa, Portugal (mjoao@ptmat.lmc.f.ul.pt).

†The author acknowledges with thanks the support of FCT, *Programa Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação do Quadro Comunitário de Apoio*, and Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian.

rank and let $End(\mathcal{A})$ and $Aut(\mathcal{A})$ be the monoid of endomorphisms and the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. Given an element $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$ we define the rank of a, $rank(a)$, as $rank(im(a))$. An endomorphism $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$ is said to be singular if $rank(a) < rank(\mathcal{A})$. Fountain and Lewin proved that every singular endomorphism $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$ can be expressed as a product of idempotents of $End(\mathcal{A})$.

In a different direction, Levi and McFadden [6] extended Howie's result proving that given a singular transformation $a \in T(X)$, the semigroup generated by all its conjugates $g^{-1}ag$, with $g \in Sym(X)$, is generated by its own idempotents.

In fact Levi and McFadden proved something more. As usual if S is a semigroup, $E(S)$ denotes the set of idempotents of S, and if $\emptyset \neq T \subseteq S$, by $\langle T \rangle$ we denote the semigroup generated by T. Levi and McFadden proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let $a \in T_n \setminus Sym(X)$ and $S = \langle \{g^{-1}ag \mid g \in Sym(X)\} \rangle$. Then*

$$S = \langle \{a\} \cup Sym(X) \rangle \setminus Sym(X) = \langle E(S) \rangle.$$

Our aim was to generalize this result to the case of independence algebras. We would like to have a result reading as folllows: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite rank independence algebra and let $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$ be a singular endomorphism. Then the semigroup generated by the set $\{g^{-1}ag \mid g \in Aut(\mathcal{A})\}$ is generated by its own idempotents.

However this result is not true. To see this consider $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and the independence algebra $\mathcal{A} = (X, 0)$, an algebra with only one operation which is constant. Let a be the endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ defined by $0a = 1a = 0$ and $2a = 1$. Then $a \in End(\mathcal{A}) \setminus Aut(\mathcal{A})$ but we have[1]

$$\langle Aut(\mathcal{A})\ a\ Aut(\mathcal{A}) \rangle \cong \langle S_2\ (21]\ S_2 \rangle.$$

The latter is an inverse semigroup and has elements that are not idempotents, so it is not generated by idempotents and hence $\langle Aut(\mathcal{A})\ a\ Aut(\mathcal{A}) \rangle$ is not generated by idempotents either.

In the sequel we consider a particular class of independence algebras — proper independence algebras— in which a result corresponding to that of

[1]In a notation now standard, the partial one-one mapping on the set $\{1, 2\}$ which sends 2 on 1, is represented by $(21]$.

Levi and McFadden holds. This class of algebras is broad enough to contain the most important examples of independence algebras, namely, sets, free G-sets and vector spaces.

In Section 2 we introduce proper independence algebras and prove some basic results.

In Section 3 we prove that, for any singular endomorphism $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$, the semigroup $\langle \{a\} \cup Aut(\mathcal{A}) \rangle$ is generated by its own idempotents. As a corollary we derive the Fountain and Lewin theorem for proper independence algebras. As sets and vector spaces are proper independence algebras, the result is general enough to contain as particular cases both Howie's [4] and Erdos' [1] classical theorems.

Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the study of semigroups generated by the set $\{g^{-1}ag : g \in Aut(\mathcal{A})\}$, where $a \in End(\mathcal{A}) \setminus Aut(\mathcal{A})$ and $Aut(\mathcal{A})$ is a periodic group. The main result of this section generalizes Theorem 1.

2 Preliminaries

We assume the reader to have a basic knowledge of both the theory of independence algebras and the theory of semigroups. For independence algebras we recommend [2] and [3] as references, and for general semigroup theory we recommend [5].

The first step in the definition of independence algebras is the introduction of a notion of independence valid for universal algebras. A subset X of an algebra is said to be *independent* if $X = \emptyset$ or if for every element $x \in X$, we have $x \notin \langle X \setminus \{x\} \rangle$; a set is *dependent* if it is not independent.

Lemma 2 *For an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) For every subset X of A and all elements u, v of A, if the element $u \in \langle X \cup \{v\} \rangle$ and $u \notin \langle X \rangle$, then $v \in \langle X \bigcup \{u\} \rangle$.

(2) For every subset X of A and every element $u \in A$, if X is independent and $u \notin \langle X \rangle$, then $X \cup \{u\}$ is independent.

(3) For every subset X of A, if Y is a maximal independent subset of X, then $\langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$.

(4) For subsets X, Y of A with $Y \subseteq X$, if Y is independent, then there is an independent set Z with $Y \subseteq Z \subseteq X$ and $\langle Z \rangle = \langle X \rangle$.

Proof: [8] (p.50, Exercise 6). ∎

An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to have the *exchange property* or to satisfy [EP] if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2. A basis for $\mathcal{A}$ is a subset of A which generates A and is independent. It is clear from Lemma 2 that any algebra with [EP] has a basis. Furthermore, for such an algebra, bases may be characterised as minimal generating sets or maximal independent sets, and all bases for $\mathcal{A}$ have the same cardinality ([3], Proposition 3.3). This cardinal is called the rank of $\mathcal{A}$ and is written $rank(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra satisfying [EP] and $\alpha \in PEnd(\mathcal{A})$, then $rank(\alpha)$ is the rank of the image of α, that is, $rank(\alpha) = rank(im(\alpha))$.

We observe that (4) of Lemma 2 tells us that any independent subset of A can be extended to a basis for $\mathcal{A}$. We also remark that if $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies [EP], then so does any subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

Throughout this paper $\mathcal{A}$ will always denote an independence algebra of finite rank with at most one constant. Thus, in the algebras under consideration, $Con = \emptyset$ or $Con = \{0\}$, where Con denotes the set of constants of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an independence algebra and let X, Y be two disjoint and independent subsets of A. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be **strong** if $\langle X \rangle \cap \langle Y \rangle = Con$ implies that $X \cup Y$ is an independent set. From now on we restrict our study to the case of strong independence algebras.

Lemma 3 *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$. If B is a basis for $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C}$, $B \cup C$ is a basis for $\mathcal{B}$ and $B \cup D$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}$, then $B \cup C \cup D$ is a basis for the algebra generated by $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof: [2] (Lemma 1.6). ∎

Definition 1 *Let I be a set and for a symbol $0 \notin I$, let $I_0 = I \cup \{0\}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{A}$ be an independence algebra and let $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ be a partition of a basis of $\mathcal{A}$. Consider the endomorphism $\alpha \in End(\mathcal{A})$ defined by $A_i\alpha = \{a_i\}$, for $i \in I$, where the set $\{a_i : i \in I\}$ is an independent set (and hence a basis* *for $im(\alpha)$), and let $A_0\alpha = \{0\}$. An endomorphism $\alpha \in End(\mathcal{A})$ under these conditions is represented by the following matrix*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i \in I}.$$

This matrix is said to be a **fundamental representation** *of α. The set A_0 in the fundamental representation is said to be the* **constant component***.*

If the algebra has no constants, then the constant component is the empty set and then the endomorphism can be defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_i \\ a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i \in I}.$$

The importance of this concept lies in the following fact:

Theorem 4 *Every endomorphism of a strong independence algebra admits a fundamental representation.*

Proof: This follows from Lemma 2.8 and the observations following Corollary 2.10 of [2]. ■

It is worth observing that the previous theorem does not imply that given $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$ and a basis B of $\mathcal{A}$, there is a partition of B, say $(A_i)_{i \in I_0}$, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i \in I}$$

is a fundamental representation of a. What the previous theorem implies is that for every $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$ there exist a basis B of $\mathcal{A}$ and a partition of that basis, say $(A_i)_{I \cup \{0\}}$, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i \in I}$$

is a fundamental representation for a. In fact we can say more. For every $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$ and every basis C of $im(a)$, there is a basis of $\mathcal{A}$, say $B = \cup_{I_0} A_i$, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i \in I}$$

is a fundamental representation for a. In short, not every basis of $\mathcal{A}$ induces a fundamental representation of a given $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$, but given any $a \in End(\mathcal{A})$ every basis of $im(a)$ induces a fundamental representation for a.

We observe that if $e \in E(End(\mathcal{A}))$, then e has a fundamental representation as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i \in I}$$

where $a_i \in A_i$, for all $i \in I$. Moreover, if C is a basis for $im(e)$ and $C_0 = C \cup \{0\}$, then there is a basis of $\mathcal{A}$, say $B = \bigcup_{c \in C_0} A_c$, such that $A_c e = c$, for all $c \in C_0$. Thus, e can be represented as (and is defined by)

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_c \\ c \end{bmatrix}_{c \in C_0}$$

Lemma 5 *Let $\alpha \in End(\mathcal{A})$, $g, h \in Aut(\mathcal{A})$ and suppose that*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & \dots & A_n \\ 0 & a_1 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is a fundamental representation for α (where A_0 may be empty). Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 g & A_1 g & \dots & A_n g \\ 0 & a_1 h & \dots & a_n h \end{bmatrix}$$

is a fundamental representation for $g^{-1}\alpha h$.

Proof: Clearly, $\bigcup(A_i g : i \in \{0, \dots, n\})$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$ and $\{a_1 h, \dots, a_n h\}$ is an independent set. Moreover, $(A_i g)\ g^{-1}\alpha h = a_i h$, for all $i \in [n]$, and $(A_0 g)\ g^{-1}\alpha h = 0$, whenever A_0 is non-empty. The lemma is proved. ■

Let $\alpha \in End(\mathcal{A})$. If the algebra has no constants, then the constant component in every fundamental representation of α is the empty set and then the endomorphism can be defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \dots & A_n \\ a_1 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $(A_i)_{i \in [n]}$ is a partition of a basis.

Let α be an endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$. We say that α is **proper** if it has a fundamental representation with empty constant component. That is, α can be defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \dots & A_n \\ a_1 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\bigcup_{i\in[n]} A_i$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$. A proper endomorphism is said to be **reductive** if its rank is less than the rank of $\mathcal{A}$ but greater than zero.

Definition 2 *A strong independence algebra is said to be* **proper** *if all endomorphisms of rank at least* 1 *are proper.*

Clearly, an endomorphism of a proper algebra is reductive if and only if it is neither an automorphism nor the null endomorphism.

We observe that strong independence algebras without constants are examples of proper independence algebras. In addition we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6 *Let V be a vector space over a field F. Then V is a proper independence algebra.*

Proof: Let B be a basis for V, $B_1 \subset B$ and $b \in B \setminus B_1$. It is an easy exercise to show that $(B_1 + b) \cup B \setminus B_1$, where $B + b = \{a + b \mid a \in B\}$, is a basis for V.

Consider the following fundamental representation of α

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i\in I}.$$

As $rank(\alpha) > 0$ it follows that for some $i \in I$ we have $(A_i)\alpha = \{a_i\} \neq \{0\}$. Suppose $a_1 \neq 0$. Then α has a fundamental representation as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & A_i \\ 0 & a_1 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i\in I\setminus\{1\}}.$$

Let $a \in A_1$. Then $B = (A_o + a) \cup (\cup_{i\in I} A_i)$ is a basis for V.

Now α acts on the basis B in the following way

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 + a & A_1 & A_i \\ a_1 & a_1 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i\in I\setminus\{1\}}.$$

As $C = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ generates the image of α, it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} (A_0 + a) \cup A_1 & A_i \\ a_1 & a_i \end{bmatrix}_{i \in I \setminus \{1\}}$$

is a fundamental representation of α with empty constant component. ■

In what follows we restrict our study to the case of **proper independence algebras of finite rank**. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ will always denote an algebra of this kind (we keep assuming that $\mathcal{A}$ has at most one constant).

We prove now a technical lemma which will be very useful in what follows.

Lemma 7 *Let $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ be an independent set in $\mathcal{A}$ where $n < rank(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose that a belongs to the subalgebra $\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle \setminus Con$. Then there exist two bases as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \{b_1, \ldots, b_n, y, d_1, \ldots, d_k\} \\ C &= \{b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}, b_n, y, e_1, \ldots, e_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: As $n < rank(\mathcal{A})$ there is an element $y \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the set $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n, y\}$ is independent. Hence there is a basis $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n, y, d_1, \ldots, d_k\}$. Take the minimum $i \in [n]$ such that $a \in \langle b_1, \ldots, b_i \rangle$. Then, as $a \notin \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1} \rangle$, it follows that $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a\}$ is an independent set and, by [EP], we can say that $b_i \in \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a \rangle$.

We claim that $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n\}$ is independent. In fact, if $a \in \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n \rangle$ then we have

$$b_i \in \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n \rangle \quad = \quad \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n \rangle,$$

a contradiction as $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_i, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n\}$ is an independent set. It now follows that $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n, y\}$ is independent. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle &\subseteq \langle b_1, \ldots, b_i, \ldots, b_n, a \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle \\ &= \langle b_1, \ldots, b_i, \ldots, b_n \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle \\ &= Con. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\langle b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle = Con$ and this proves that the set $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n, y\}$ is independent. Therefore it can be extended to a basis of $\mathcal{A}$:

$$C = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n, y, \ldots\}.$$

■

3 The semigroup $\langle \alpha, G\rangle \backslash G$ is Idempotent Generated

The aim of this section is the proof of the following

Theorem 8 *If* $\alpha \in End(\mathcal{A})$ *is a reductive endomorphism, then* $\langle \alpha, G\rangle \backslash G$ *is generated by its own idempotents.*

For an $\alpha \in End(\mathcal{A})$ the semigroup $\langle \alpha, G\rangle \setminus G$ will be denoted by α^G. As an element in the semigroup α^G has the form $g_1\alpha g_2 \ldots \alpha g_k$, for some $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$, we see that α^G is generated by its idempotents if and only if $g\alpha h$ is a product of idempotents in α^G, for all $g, h \in G$.

In [2] (Section 3) it is proved that there is an idempotent $e \in End(\mathcal{A})$ and an automorphism g such that $\alpha = eg$. Thus we have $\alpha \in e^G$ and $e = \alpha g^{-1} \in \alpha^G$. Hence $e^G = \alpha^G$.

Now it remains to prove that the semigroup e^G is generated by its own idempotents. To see this we fix the following fundamental representation of e:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \ldots & A_n \\ x_1 & \ldots & x_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $x_i \in A_i$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. This is possible because α is proper and thus, by Lemma 5, e is proper as well.

Let $geh \in e^G$, where $g, h \in G$. If $geh \in \langle E(e^G)\rangle$, then $e^G = \langle E(e^G)\rangle$. Thus one only has to prove that, for all $g, h \in G$, the element geh belongs to $\langle E(e^G)\rangle$. Let $a = geh$. Then it follows from Lemma 5 that the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 g^{-1} & \ldots & A_n g^{-1} \\ x_1 h & \ldots & x_n h \end{bmatrix}$$

is a fundamental representation for a. Let $A_i g^{-1} = Z_i$, $x_i h = a_i$. Thus a fundamental representation of a is given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & \ldots & Z_n \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

We introduce now what will be the main tool in our proof. Let $u \in \langle E(e^G)\rangle$ with fundamental representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_{\sigma 1} & \ldots & Z_{\sigma k} & Z_{\sigma(k+1)} & \ldots & Z_{\sigma n} \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_k & b_{k+1} & \ldots & b_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where σ is a permutation of $[n]$. Moreover, suppose that if there is $v \in \langle E(e^G) \rangle$ and $\varsigma \in Sym([n])$ such that $Z_{\varsigma i} v = a_i$, for $i \in [j]$, then $j \leq k$. Roughly speaking, u is a maximal element with respect to the property "coinciding with a *twisted* a in the first j image elements".

We claim that $k = n$.

We start by introducing some notation. Let $\beta \in End(\mathcal{A})$ and let the following matrix be a fundamental representation for β:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & \dots & Y_n \\ w_1 & \dots & w_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, let $Y = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} Y_i$ and for all $i \in [n]$ let y_i be an element in Y_i. Then we represent Y_i as $[y_i]_Y$, and hence the given fundamental representation for β becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} [y_1]_Y & \dots & [y_n]_Y \\ w_1 & \dots & w_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

If one of the sets $(Y_i)_{i \in [n]}$, say Y_1, has more than one element, say w_1, v_1, we can represent Y_1 as $[w_1]_Y$ or as $[v_1]_Y$ or as $[w_1, v_1]_Y$. The same applies for a set having more than two elements. The aim of this notation is just isolate one or more elements of a set in order to make it easier for the reader to follow composition of fundamental representations.

With this notation, the fixed fundamental representation of e with respect to the basis $Z = \bigcup A_i$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} [x_1]_Z & \dots & [x_n]_Z \\ x_1 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 9 *Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be contained in a basis C. Then, there exists in e^G an idempotent ε defined by*

$$\begin{bmatrix} [b_1]_C & \dots & [b_n]_C \\ b_1 & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we can choose ε in such a way that $|[b_i]_C| = |[x_i]_Z|$, for all $i \in [n]$.

Proof: Consider a partition $(B_i)_{i \in [n]}$, of C, such that

1. $b_i \in B_i$, for all $i \in [n]$;

2. $|[x_i]_Z| = |[b_i]_C|$, for all $i \in [n]$.

Now, consider any bijection $g : (\bigcup [x_i]_Z : i \in [n]) \longrightarrow C$ satisfying two properties:

1. $x_i g = b_i$, for all $i \in [n]$;

2. $([x_i]_Z)g = [b_i]_C$, for all $i \in [n]$.

Then g can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ and, by Lemma 5, the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} [b_1]_C & \dots & [b_n]_C \\ b_1 & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is a fundamental representation of $g^{-1}eg$ and $g^{-1}eg \in E(e^G)$. The lemma follows. ■

As e is reductive, for some $i \in [n]$ the set $A_i = [x_i]_Z$ has at least two elements. We can suppose that $[x_{k+1}]_Z$ contains more than one element. In fact, if $[x_i]_Z$ contains more than one element, instead of using e, we would work with the idempotent $\varepsilon = (x_i x_{k+1})_Z e (x_i x_{k+1})_Z$, and now it is the $Ker(\varepsilon)$-class of x_{k+1} which contains more than one element. The point is that we have to change only if $[x_{k+1}]_Z$ has only one element. If this is the case, then we consider the element $\varepsilon = (x_i x_{k+1})_Z e (x_i x_{k+1})_Z$, and with this element we have $\langle E(\varepsilon^G)\rangle = \langle E(e^G)\rangle$. Thus if $u \in \langle E(\varepsilon^G)\rangle$, then $u \in \langle E(e^G)\rangle$, which is what we want to prove.

Thus we can assume that the fundamental representation of e chosen above has the following shape

$$\begin{bmatrix} [x_1]_Z & \dots & [x_k]_Z & [x_{k+1}, w_{k+1}]_Z & \dots & [x_n]_Z \\ x_1 & \dots & x_k & x_{k+1} & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

To prove that $k = n$ we are going to prove that if $k < n$, then there is $\varepsilon \in E(e^G)$ such that $u\varepsilon$ coincides with a twisted a in the first $k+1$ elements, contradicting the maximality of u.

Suppose first that

$$a_{k+1} \notin \langle a_1, \dots, a_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n \rangle.$$

Then the set $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_n, a_{k+1}\}$ is independent and hence can be extended to B, a basis of $\mathcal{A}$. Now it follows from Lemma 9 that there is an idempotent ε in e^G with the following fundamental representation

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} [a_1]_B & \ldots & [a_k]_B & [a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}]_B & [b_{k+2}]_B & \ldots & [b_n]_B \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_k & a_{k+1} & b_{k+2} & \ldots & b_n \end{array}\right].$$

But now, $u\varepsilon$ is defined by

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z_{\sigma 1} & \ldots & Z_{\sigma k} & Z_{\sigma(k+1)} & Z_{\sigma(k+2)} & \ldots & Z_{\sigma n} \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_k & a_{k+1} & b_{k+2} & \ldots & b_n \end{array}\right],$$

which coincides with a twisted a in the first $k+1$ image elements, contradicting the maximality of u.

Thus we can suppose now that $a_{k+1} \in \langle a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_n\rangle$. It follows from Lemma 7 that the following two bases exist

1. $B = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_{k+j-1}, b_{k+j}, b_{k+j+1}, \ldots, b_n, y, \ldots\}$;
2. $C = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_{k+j-1}, a_{k+1}, b_{k+j+1}, \ldots, b_n, y, \ldots\}$,

where $j \geq 1$.

Using Lemma 9 once again, it follows that there are two idempotents in e^G with the following associated fundamental representations[2]:

$$\eta \longleftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc} [a_1]_B & \ldots & [a_k]_B & [b_{k+1}]_B & \ldots & [b_{k+j}, y]_B & \ldots & [b_n]_B \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_k & b_{k+1} & \ldots & y & \ldots & b_n \end{array}\right]$$

and

$$\zeta \longleftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc} [a_1]_C & \ldots & [a_k]_C & [b_{k+1}]_C & \ldots & [a_{k+1}, y]_C & \ldots & [b_n]_C \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_k & b_{k+1} & \ldots & a_{k+1} & \ldots & b_n \end{array}\right].$$

Thus, the element $u\eta\zeta$ is defined by

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc} Z_{\sigma 1} & \ldots & Z_{\sigma k} & Z_{\sigma(k+1)} & \ldots & Z_{\sigma(k+j)} & \ldots & Z_{\sigma n} \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_k & b_{k+1} & \ldots & a_{k+1} & \ldots & b_n \end{array}\right].$$

[2]The notation $\eta \leftrightarrow M$, where η is an endomorphism and M is a matrix, means that M is a fundamental representation of η.

Now we can consider a permutation $\sigma' \in Sym([n])$ defined as follows: $(k+1)\sigma' = (K+j)\sigma$, $(K+j)\sigma' = (K+1)\sigma$, $(i)\sigma' = (i)\sigma$ for the remaining elements of $[n]$. The element $u\eta\zeta$ is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_{\sigma'1} & \dots & Z_{\sigma'k} & Z_{\sigma'(k+1)} & \dots & Z_{\sigma'(k+j)} & \dots & Z_{\sigma'n} \\ a_1 & \dots & a_k & a_{k+1} & \dots & b_{k+1} & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix},$$

a contradiction with the maximality of u. It is proved that $k = n$ and hence the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_{\sigma1} & \dots & Z_{\sigma k} & Z_{\sigma(k+1)} & \dots & Z_{\sigma n} \\ a_1 & \dots & a_k & a_{k+1} & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is a fundamental representation of u. Thus proving our claim of page 10.

To finish the proof of the Theorem 8, it remains to prove, as explained on page 10, that a belongs to $E(e^G)$. So we have the following

Lemma 10 *Let $b \in \langle E(e^G)\rangle$ be defined by the matrix*

$$b \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & \dots & B_k & \dots & B_{k+j} & \dots & B_n \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k & \dots & b_{k+j} & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the endomorphism defined by

$$c \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & \dots & B_{k-1} & B_k & B_{k+1} & \dots & B_{k+j-1} & B_{k+j} & B_{k+j+1} & \dots & B_n \\ b_1 & \dots & b_{k-1} & b_{k+j} & b_{k+1} & \dots & b_{k+j-1} & b_k & b_{k+j+1} & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

belongs to $\langle E(e^G)\rangle$ as well.

Proof: Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n, y, \dots\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 9, the following idempotents belong to e^G:

$$\varepsilon \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} [b_1]_B & \dots & [b_k]_B & \dots & [b_{k+j}, y]_B & \dots & [b_n]_B \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k & \dots & y & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\zeta \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} [b_1]_B & \dots & [b_{k-1}]_B & [y]_B & [b_{k+1}] & \dots & [b_k, b_{k+j}]_B & \dots & [b_n]_B \\ b_1 & \dots & b_{k-1} & y & b_{k+1} & \dots & b_{k+j} & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\eta \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} [b_1]_B & \dots & [y, b_k]_B & \dots & [b_{k+j}]_B & \dots & [b_n]_B \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k & \dots & b_{k+j} & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, $b\varepsilon\zeta\eta$ is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & \dots & B_k & \dots & B_{k+j} & \dots & B_n \\ b_1 & \dots & b_{k+j} & \dots & b_k & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix},$$

which is equal to c. The lemma is proved. ■
Now, as u, with fundamental representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_{\sigma 1} & \dots & Z_{\sigma k} & Z_{\sigma(k+1)} & \dots & Z_{\sigma n} \\ a_1 & \dots & a_k & a_{k+1} & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix},$$

belongs to $E(e^G)$, it follows by repeated application of the previous lemma that a, defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & \dots & Z_n \\ a_1 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix},$$

belongs to $E(e^G)$ as well. Thus, the semigroup e^G is generated by its idempotents. As $e^G = \alpha^G = \langle \alpha, G\rangle \setminus G$, the theorem is proved.

Corollary 11 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a proper independence algebra. Then every ideal of the semigroup $End(\mathcal{A}) \setminus Aut(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by its idempotents.*

Proof: It is proved in [2] (remark after Proposition 1.3) that the ideals of $End(\mathcal{A}) \setminus Aut(\mathcal{A})$ are precisely the sets

$$I_r = \{\alpha \in End(\mathcal{A}) : rank(\alpha) \leq r\}, \text{ for } r < rank(\mathcal{A}).$$

Now, if $r = 0$, then I_r has only one element, which is idempotent, and hence the result holds. If $0 < r < rank(\mathcal{A})$, then every $\alpha \in I_r \setminus I_0$ is reductive and hence

$$\alpha \in \alpha^G = \langle E(\alpha^G)\rangle \subseteq I_r.$$

Thus α is a product of idempotents of I_r. ■

Corollary 12 *(Howie) Let X be a finite set. Then $T(X) \setminus Sym(X)$ is idempotent generated.*

Corollary 13 *(Erdos) Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. Then the semigroup $End(V) \setminus Aut(V)$ is idempotent generated.*

4 Normal Semigroups of Endomorphisms

In this section we suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a proper independence algebra such that G, the automorphism group of $\mathcal{A}$, is a periodic group. Moreover, for $\alpha \in End(\mathcal{A})$ and $g \in G$, we will denote the element $g\alpha g^{-1}$ by α^g. Finally, the semigroup generated by the set $\{\alpha^g : g \in G\}$, where α is a fixed endomorphism, will be denoted by $\langle \alpha : G \rangle$.

The proof that $\langle \alpha : G \rangle$ is generated by idempotents turns out to be very easy when we use some techniques developed by McAlister [7].

Theorem 14 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a proper independence algebra such that G, the automorphism group of $\mathcal{A}$, is a periodic group. Moreover, let α be a reductive endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$. Then the semigroup $\langle \alpha : G \rangle$ is equal to $\langle \alpha, G \rangle \setminus G$ and hence is generated by its idempotents.*

Proof: It is obvious that $\langle \alpha : G \rangle \subseteq \langle \alpha, G \rangle \setminus G$. So we prove the converse.

Let $u = g_1\alpha g_2 \alpha g_3 \dots g_n \alpha g_{n+1}$ be an idempotent of $\langle \alpha, G \rangle \setminus G$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= g_1 \alpha g_1^{-1}(g_1g_2)\alpha(g_1g_2)^{-1}(g_1g_2g_3)\alpha \dots \alpha(g_1g_2g_3\dots g_n)^{-1}(g_1g_2g_3\dots g_ng_{n+1}) \\ &= \alpha^{g_1}\alpha^{g_1g_2}\alpha^{g_1g_2g_3}\dots\alpha^{g_1g_2g_3\cdots g_n}\,(g_1\dots g_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u \in \langle \alpha : G \rangle (g_1 \dots g_{n+1})$, say $u = vg$, where g is equal to $g_1 \dots g_{n+1}$ and v belongs to $\langle \alpha : G \rangle$.

As G is periodic, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that g^n is the identity. Now, as $u = vg$ is idempotent, we have $vg = (vg)^n$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} vg &= (vg)^n \\ &= v(gvg^{-1})(g^2vg^{-2})\dots(g^{n-1}vg^{-n+1})g^n \\ &= v(gvg^{-1})(g^2vg^{-2})\dots(g^{n-1}vg^{-n+1}) \in \langle \alpha : G \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is proved that $u = vg = v(gvg^{-1})(g^2vg^{-2})\dots(g^{n-1}vg^{-n+1})$ is an idempotent of $\langle \alpha : G \rangle$. Thus all the idempotents of $\langle \alpha, G \rangle \setminus G$ belong to $\langle \alpha : G \rangle$. As $E(\langle \alpha, G \rangle)$ generates $\langle \alpha, G \rangle \setminus G$, the theorem is proved. ■

Aknowledgements: I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor John Fountain, and Professors Gracinda Gomes, Victoria Gould and Peter M. Higgins, for their comments on a previous draft of this paper.

References

[1] J.A. Erdos, *On products of idempotent matrices*, Glasgow Math. J. **18** (1967), 118-122.

[2] J. Fountain, A. Lewin, *Products of idempotent endomorphisms of an independence algebra of infinite rank*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 114 (1993), no. 2, 303–319.

[3] V. A. R. Gould, *Independence algebras*, Algebra Universalis **33** (1995), 327–329.

[4] J. M. Howie, *The subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of a full transformation semigroup*, J. London Math Soc. **41** (1966), 707–716.

[5] J. M. Howie, *An Introduction to Semigroup Theory*, Academic Press, London, (1976).

[6] I. Levi and R. McFadden, S_n*-normal semigroups*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **37** (1994), 471-476.

[7] D. B. McAlister, Semigroups generated by a group and an idempotent, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), no. 2, 515–547.

[8] R.N. McKenzie, G. F. McNulty and W. F. Taylor, *Algebra, lattices, varieties,* Vol. I (Wadsworth, Montrey, 1983).

[9] M. A. Reynolds and R. P. Sullivan, *Products of idempotent linear transformations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **100 A** (1985), 123–138.