

Introduction to Grounded Theory: Evolution, Approaches, and Critical Perspectives

Marc Jacquinet

October 2024

CC BY ND NC

Historical Development and Foundational Concepts

Grounded Theory (GT) emerged in the 1960s as an innovative or according to some quarters groundbreaking or revolutionary methodological approach to qualitative research, fundamentally challenging the dominant hypothetico-deductive model of scientific inquiry prevalent at the time. Initially developed by sociologists Barney Glaser and Anselm Strauss (1967) in their seminal work "The Discovery of Grounded Theory," this methodology proposed a radical departure from the traditional relationship between theory and empirical research. Rather than beginning with preexisting theoretical frameworks and testing hypotheses, GT advocates for the systematic generation of theory from data through rigorous analytical procedures, including coding.

The methodology's genesis can be traced to Glaser and Strauss's collaborative research on dying hospital patients, which culminated in their work "Awareness of Dying" (1965). This study demonstrated the possibility of developing sophisticated theoretical frameworks directly from empirical observations, establishing GT as a legitimate approach to theory construction in social research.

Theoretical Foundations and Core Principles

Grounded Theory is predicated on several fundamental principles that distinguish it from other qualitative methodologies, in a nutshell:

1. **Theory Generation:** The primary objective is the development of theoretical explanations grounded in empirical data rather than the verification of existing theories.
2. **Theoretical Sampling:** Data collection is guided by emerging theoretical insights, with sampling decisions made based on their potential contribution to theory development.
3. **Constant Comparative Analysis:** Research involves simultaneous data collection and analysis, with continuous comparison between new data and emerging theoretical categories.
4. **Theoretical Sensitivity:** Researchers must maintain awareness of the theoretical implications within their data while avoiding preconceptions that might constrain analysis.

Divergent Schools and Approaches

The evolution of GT has been marked by significant methodological divergences among its practitioners, leading to the emergence of distinct schools of thought:

The Classic Glaserian Approach

Glaser maintained a more purist stance, emphasizing emergence over forcing and advocating for a more flexible, less structured approach to data analysis. His perspective emphasizes theoretical sensitivity and the emergence of theory through constant comparison, rejecting predetermined coding paradigms.

The Straussian Approach

Strauss, collaborating with Juliet Corbin, developed a more structured approach with specific coding procedures (open, axial, and selective coding) and the use of a coding paradigm. This version of GT provides more explicit guidelines for theory development but has been criticized for potentially forcing data into predetermined categories.

Constructivist Grounded Theory

Kathy Charmaz (2006) introduced a constructivist perspective, acknowledging the researcher's role in co-constructing meaning with participants. This approach challenges the positivist assumptions of earlier versions, emphasizing the interpretive nature of theory development.

Methodological Accomplishments and Contributions

Grounded Theory has made substantial contributions to qualitative research methodology:

1. **Systematic Procedures:** GT introduced rigorous procedures for qualitative data analysis, enhancing the credibility of qualitative research.
2. **Theory Development:** The methodology has facilitated the generation of numerous substantive theories across various disciplines.
3. **Methodological Evolution:** GT has demonstrated remarkable adaptability, evolving to incorporate diverse epistemological perspectives while maintaining its core principles.

Critical Perspectives and Limitations

Several criticisms and limitations have been identified:

1. **Philosophical Inconsistencies:** Critics argue that GT's claim to theory emergence conflicts with contemporary understandings of the theory-laden nature of observation.
2. **Practical Challenges:** The time-intensive nature of theoretical sampling and constant comparison can make GT studies logistically challenging.
3. **Methodological Confusion:** The existence of multiple versions has led to confusion and debates about what constitutes "genuine" GT.

Current Debates and Future Directions

Contemporary discussions in GT methodology center on several key issues:

1. Integration with Digital Tools: The adaptation of GT principles to computer-assisted qualitative data analysis software.
2. Mixed Methods Applications: The potential for combining GT with quantitative approaches.
3. Epistemological Debates: Ongoing discussions about the compatibility of GT with various philosophical positions.

Bibliography

Charmaz, K. (2006). *Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide through Qualitative Analysis*. Sage Publications.

Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2014). *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Glaser, B. G. (1992). *Basics of Grounded Theory Analysis: Emergence vs. Forcing*. Sociology Press.

Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research*. Aldine.

Bryant, A., & Charmaz, K. (Eds.). (2007). *The SAGE Handbook of Grounded Theory*. Sage Publications.

Clarke, A. E. (2005). *Situational Analysis: Grounded Theory After the Postmodern Turn*. Sage Publications.

Dey, I. (1999). *Grounding Grounded Theory: Guidelines for Qualitative Inquiry*. Academic Press.

Glaser, B. G. (1998). *Doing Grounded Theory: Issues and Discussions*. Sociology Press.

Hood, J. C. (2007). Orthodoxy vs. Power: The Defining Traits of Grounded Theory. In A. Bryant & K. Charmaz (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Grounded Theory* (pp. 151-164). Sage Publications.

Morse, J. M., Stern, P. N., Corbin, J., Bowers, B., Charmaz, K., & Clarke, A. E. (2009). *Developing Grounded Theory: The Second Generation*. Left Coast Press.